

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/672,707	09/29/2000	Terence W. Barrett	2955-Z 2088	
7590 03/11/2004			EXAMINER	
Jim Zegeer			BOCURE, TESFALDET	
Suite 108 801 North Pitt Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Alexandria, VA 22314			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	$_{1}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Communication	09/672,707	BARRETT, TERENCE W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tesfaldet Bocure	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2000.				
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/672,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Figure 1,2 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed transmitter for causing and transmitting the short pulses in claims 1-4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract of the Disclosure should be less than 250 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).



## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/672,707 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-4 provides for the use of the wireless satellite communication link in which data is transmitted--, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett (US patent number 5,610,907).

Art Unit: 2631

High data rate inter-satellite communications links method comprising providing an ultrafast time hopping wireless satellite communications link in which data is transmitted using individual packets or pulses and a sequence of such packets or pulses, causing said individual packets or pulses to be short in duration so that the individual packets are pulsed and signal energy is spread over the allowed bandwidth substantially simultaneously and instantaneously as in claim 1. See starting col. 1, line 65 through col. 2, lines 30 and fig. 1A.

Further to claim 2, Barrett teaches the claimed wherein a time hopping sequential code is used to position the said packets or pulses precisely in sequence thereby providing optimum use of frequency space and also providing noninterfering transmission channels due to the orthogonality of the coding scheme used. See col. 2, line 5-10.

Further to claim 3, Barrett teaches the claimed the ultrashort nature of the individual packets or pulses used permits the time duration of a frame to be divided into very many microintervals of time in which the signal occurs. See col. 2, lines 1-5.

Further to claim 4, Barrett teaches that the division into very many microintervals in a frame to permit the availability of as many possible coding schemes as many non-interfering transmission channels. See col. 2, lines 1-9.

As to the claimed intersatellite communication link claimed in lines 1-2 of claim 1, it is intended use and therefore, no patentable weight is given.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,707 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent numbers 6,160802 and 6,636,567 issued to Barrett and Roberts et al. and IEEE publications by the title "Block-Spreading Codes for Impulse Radio Multiple Access though ISI" and "Multistage Block-Spreading for Impulse Radio Multiple Access Through ISI channels" by Yang et al. disclosure spread spectrum transmitter for transmitting short pulse using time hopping.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (703) 305-4735. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,707

Art Unit: 2631

Page 6

Primarv Éxaminér Art Unit 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Bocure